

NORMAN L. MELHISER, CPA
TOM R. TUCKER, CPA
JOSEPH L. BROWN, CPA
MARC J. MCCORMICK, CPA
DOUGLAS A. YORK, CPA
TERRY L. GRAHAM, CPA
W. ISSAC DRWICK, CPA
RICHARD N. ROBINSON, CPA
ANITA M. ASHER, CPA
JUAN R. RODRIGUEZ, CPA
LISA M. NEWBANKS, CPA
EDWARD D. ENDRES, CPA
(1943-1997)
WWW.METCPA.COM

June 26, 2007

To the Partners
Kehlenbrink, Lawrence & Pauckner
6926 Rucker Road, Ste G
Indianapolis, IN 46220

We have reviewed the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Kehlenbrink, Lawrence & Pauckner (the firm) in effect for the year ended March 31, 2007. A system of quality control encompasses the firm's organizational structure, the policies adopted and procedures established to provide it with reasonable assurance of conforming with professional standards. The elements of quality control are described in the Statements on Quality Control Standards issued by the American Institute of CPAs (AICPA). The firm is responsible for designing a system of quality control and complying with it to provide the firm reasonable assurance of conforming with professional standards in all material respects. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system of quality control and the firm's compliance with its system of quality control based on our review.

Our review was conducted in accordance with standards established by the Peer Review Board of the AICPA. During our review, we read required representations from the firm, interviewed firm personnel and obtained an understanding of the nature of the firm's accounting and auditing practice, and the design of the firm's system of quality control sufficient to assess the risks implicit in its practice. Based on our assessments, we selected engagements and administrative files to test for conformity with professional standards and compliance with the firm's system of quality control. The engagements selected represented a reasonable cross-section of the firm's accounting and auditing practice with emphasis on higher-risk engagements. The engagements selected included among others, engagements performed under *Government Auditing Standards*. Prior to concluding the review, we reassessed the adequacy of the scope of the peer review procedures and met with firm management to discuss the results of our review. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.


In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the system of quality control for the firm's accounting and auditing practice. In addition, we tested compliance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the firm's policies and procedures on selected engagements. Our review was based on selected tests therefore it would not necessarily detect all weaknesses in the system of quality control or all instances of noncompliance with it. There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control and therefore noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.


Kehlenbrink, Lawrence & Pauckner
June 26, 2007
Page 2

In our opinion, the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Kehlenbrink, Lawrence & Pauckner in effect for the year ended March 31, 2007, has been designed to meet the requirements of the quality control standards for an accounting and auditing practice established by the AICPA and was complied with during the year then ended to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of conforming with professional standards.

As is customary in a system review, we have issued a letter under this date that sets forth comments that were not considered to be of sufficient significance to affect the opinion expressed in this report.

MELHISER ENDRES TUCKER CPA'S, P.C.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Melhiser Endres Tucker".



NORMAN L. MELHISER, CPA
TOM R. TUCKER, CPA
JOSEPH L. BROWN, CPA
MARC J. MCCORMICK, CPA
DOUGLAS A. YORK, CPA
TERRY L. GRAHAM, CPA
W. ISSAC ORWICK, CPA
RICHARD N. ROBINSON, CPA
ANITA M. ASHER, CPA
JUAN R. RODRIGUEZ, CPA
LISA M. NEWBANKS, CPA
EDWARD D. ENDRES, CPA
(1943-1997)
WWW.METCPA.COM

June 26, 2007

To the Partners
Kehlenbrink, Lawrence & Pauckner
6296 Rucker Road, Ste G
Indianapolis, IN 46220

We have reviewed the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Kehlenbrink, Lawrence & Pauckner (the firm) in effect for the year ended March 31, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated June 26, 2007. That report should be read in conjunction with the comment in this letter, which were considered in determining our opinion. The matter described below was not considered to be of sufficient significance to affect the opinion expressed in that report.

Comment – The firm's quality control policies and procedures require the use of standard audit programs that outline steps for performing and documenting audit planning procedures including conditions that may require modifications of tests. However, instances were noted where the firm's work papers and work programs did not appropriately document how the decision was made to not send confirmations of accounts or pledges receivable and how the alternative procedures performed provided adequate audit evidence in lieu of the confirmations. Through discussion with firm personnel, we were able to satisfy ourselves that appropriate procedures had been performed except for a lack of documentation in a manner required by professional standards.

Recommendation – The partner responsible for the engagement should review and approve the engagement planning procedures and should ensure that such planning includes documentation of how presumptive tests such as confirming accounts receivable were not performed and what steps will be taken in their place.

MELHISER ENDRES TUCKER CPA'S, P.C.

Melhiser Endres Tucker